

**A comparison of decision-making by “expert” and “novice”
nurses in the clinical setting, monitoring patient
haemodynamic status post Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm
surgery**

Kerry Hoffman, RN.

**Bachelor of Science, Graduate Diploma (Education),
Diploma of Health Science (Nursing), Master of Nursing.**

**Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

University of Technology, Sydney

2007

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of the candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me and that any help I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Preface

This study arose out of a continuing interest in clinical education and the education of nurses in general. During time spent teaching clinically and in educating undergraduate nursing students, I have had a continuing interest in how nurses make decisions and what can be done to help improve nurses' decision-making, both in the clinical arena and in the area of undergraduate studies. The Problem Based Learning (PBL) in which I have been most often involved, is believed to develop important and transferable skills such as critical thinking and decision-making. However, this has seldom been evaluated and more can be done to improve the delivery of learning materials aimed at improving these important cognitive skills. A starting point is to begin to understand how novice and expert nurses use cognitive strategies during decision-making and how these differ. New graduate nurses are increasingly entering nursing in areas such as critical care and it is especially important to understand nurses' decision-making in this area.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Professor Christine Duffield and Professor Leanne Aitken for their support through this challenging and at times very difficult journey. Their help and advice have been invaluable. I would also like to express my thanks to Professor Judith O'Donoghue, who worked with me during the first two years of this project. Her help and support were invaluable as well. I would also like to thank all the academic staff at UTS, who gave feedback during presentations during the course of this study and helped me navigate my way through an often very confusing journey. Lastly I would like to thank my family for their support.

Table of contents

Executive Summary	1
Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1 Aim	8
1.2 Overview of thesis	9
Chapter 2: Examining decision-making	11
2.1 Definition of clinical decision-making	11
2.2 Decision-making approaches	12
<i>2.2.1 Approaches in psychology</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>2.2.2 Approaches in nursing</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>2.2.3 Rational/analytical: the prescriptive approach</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>2.2.4 Rational/analytical: the descriptive theories</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>2.2.4.a Processes identified in information-processing</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>2.2.5 Interpretive approach: phenomenology</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>2.2.6 The middle ground: cognitive continuum and classification theory</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>2.2.7 Interpretive approach: grounded theory and ethnography</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>2.2.8 Naturalistic decision-making</i>	<i>40</i>
Chapter 3: Decision-making in critical care	42
3.1 Critical care clinical environment and impact on decision-making	42
3.2 Nursing work in ICU	46
3.3 Monitoring patients for haemodynamic status	49
3.4 Importance of early detection of problems	50
3.5 Elective AAA repair	52
3.6 Differences in aspects of decision-making between novice and expert nurses	56
<i>3.6.1 Hypothetico-deductive reasoning</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>3.6.2 Intuition</i>	<i>60</i>

3.6.3 <i>Pattern-matching</i>	62
3.6.4 <i>Chunking</i>	63
3.6.5 <i>Schemata and scripts</i>	64
3.6.6 <i>Eclectic approach</i>	65
3.6.7 <i>Use of production rules</i>	66
3.6.8 <i>Gathering cues</i>	66
3.6.8.a <i>Cue collection, number and type</i>	66
3.6.8.b <i>Cue collection, following rules</i>	69
3.6.9 <i>Collection of information; assessment for decision-making</i>	70
3.6.10 <i>Differences in novices and experts' use of knowledge</i>	71
3.6.10.a <i>Expert nurses' use of knowledge</i>	71
3.6.10.b <i>Pooled knowledge</i>	72
3.6.10.c <i>Sources of information</i>	72
3.7 Summary	72
Chapter 4: Techniques to examine decision-making	76
4.1 The use of simulation and real-world settings	76
4.2 Verbal reports and thinking aloud	79
4.2.1 <i>Verbal reports and small numbers of participants</i>	80
4.2.2 <i>Issues with verbal reporting and TA</i>	81
4.2.3 <i>Potential risks of TA in real settings</i>	86
4.2.4 <i>Observation</i>	88
4.3 Analysis of data	90
4.3.1 <i>Analysis of observational data</i>	90
4.3.2 <i>Analysis of TA protocols</i>	90
4.3.2.a <i>Decision trees</i>	91
4.3.2.b <i>Concept or semantic nets</i>	91
4.3.2.c <i>Problem behaviour graphs</i>	92
4.3.2.d <i>Stages of Problem Behaviour Graph (PBG) analysis</i>	93
4.4 Coding of operators	96
4.5 Content analysis	100

4.6 Summary	101
4.7 Aim	102
Chapter 5: Methodology	103
5.1 Method	104
5.2 Research questions	104
5.3 Design	105
5.3.1 <i>Participants</i>	106
5.3.2 <i>Sample size</i>	107
5.4 Procedure	107
5.4.1 <i>Pilot study</i>	108
5.4.2 <i>Data-collection tools</i>	108
5.4.2.a <i>Observation schedule</i>	108
5.4.2.b <i>Interview schedule</i>	109
5.4.3 <i>Instruments used in analysis</i>	110
5.4.3.a <i>Schedule for referring phrase analysis</i>	110
5.4.3.b <i>Schedule for assertional phrase analysis</i>	111
5.4.3.c <i>Schedule for script phrase analysis</i>	114
5.4.3.d <i>Other possible processes</i>	118
5.5 Main study	119
5.5.1 <i>Data collection</i>	120
5.5.2 <i>Data analysis</i>	122
5.5.3 <i>Transcription of tapes</i>	122
5.5.4 <i>Constructing the problem space</i>	124
5.5.5 <i>Referring phrase analysis: identifying concepts</i>	127
5.5.6 <i>Assertional phrase analysis: identifying operators</i>	128
5.5.7 <i>Script phrase analysis: identification of processes</i>	129
5.5.8 <i>Content and thematic analysis of scripts</i>	129
5.6 Validity and reliability	131
5.6.1 <i>Reliability</i>	131
5.6.2 <i>Validity</i>	132

5.7 Ethical considerations	132
5.8 Summary	134
Chapter 6: Results	135
6.1 Characteristics of the sample	135
6.2 Constructing the problem space; describing and classifying tasks, cues and information sources	136
6.2.1 <i>List of tasks</i>	137
6.2.2 <i>Task outcomes for novice and expert participants</i>	137
6.2.3 <i>Task approach</i>	139
6.2.4 <i>Cues used by novice and expert participants</i>	141
6.2.5 <i>Relation between cues and concepts for expert and novice participants</i>	146
6.2.6 <i>Information sources</i>	148
6.3 The three phrases of PBG	149
6.3.1 <i>Referring phrase analysis; identifying concepts</i>	149
6.3.2 <i>Assertional phrase analysis; identifying operators</i>	149
6.3.2.a <i>Combined frequency of operators for concurrent TA sessions and retrospective interview sessions for all participants (operators overall)</i>	150
6.3.2.b <i>Combined frequencies of operators compared for novice and expert participants for TA session and retrospective interview sessions</i>	151
6.3.2.c <i>Operators for TA sessions for novice and expert participants</i>	153
6.3.2.d <i>Operators for retrospective interviews for novice and expert participants</i>	154
6.3.2.e <i>Summary</i>	157
6.4 Script phrase analysis; identifying processes	157
6.4.1 <i>Identified processes</i>	158
6.4.1.a <i>Hypothetico-deductive reasoning</i>	158
6.4.1.b <i>Pattern-matching</i>	161
6.4.1.c <i>If/then processes</i>	162
6.4.1.d <i>Trial and error</i>	163

6.4.1.e <i>Automatic processes</i>	165
6.4.2 <i>Identified themes</i>	165
6.4.2.a <i>“On my watch”</i>	166
6.4.2.b <i>“Big issue”</i>	167
6.4.2.c <i>“Under control”</i>	168
6.4.2.d <i>“Seeing the big picture”</i>	170
6.4.2.e <i>“Seeking help”</i>	171
6.4.2.f <i>“Directing care”</i>	172
6.4.2.g <i>“Maintaining simultaneous concentration”</i>	173
6.4.2.h <i>“Prioritising care”</i>	175
6.4.2.i <i>“Collective knowing the patient”</i>	176
6.4.2.j <i>“Doctor preference”</i>	179
6.5 Reliability	179
6.6 Summary	180
 Chapter 7: Discussion and implications	 184
7.1 Demographic data	185
7.2 Description of tasks in the study	186
7.3 Cue usage and cue linkages	189
7.4 Information sources	191
7.5 Operators	192
7.5.1 <i>Operators overall</i>	192
7.5.2 <i>Differences in usage of operators between novice and expert participants</i>	199
7.5.2.a <i>Operator “plan”</i>	199
7.5.2.b <i>Operator “review”</i>	202
7.5.2.c <i>Operators “rationale” and “goal”</i>	202
7.5.2.d <i>Operators “interpret” and “relate”</i>	203
7.5.2.e <i>Operators “match” and “predict”</i>	205
7.5.2.f <i>Operators “choose” and “diagnosis”</i>	206
7.5.2.g <i>Operator “course”</i>	207

7.5.2.h Operator “evaluate”	208
7.5.3 Summary of the use of operators	206
7.6 Decision-making processes	210
7.6.1 Hypothetico-deductive reasoning	210
7.6.2 Pattern-matching	212
7.6.3 If/Then procedural rules	214
7.6.4 Intuitive processes/automatic processes	215
7.6.5 Knowing the patient	217
7.7 Themes generated from content analysis	218
7.7.1 “On my watch”	218
7.7.2 “Big issue”	218
7.7.3 “Under control”	220
7.7.4 “Seeking help”	221
7.7.5 “Big picture”	221
7.7.6 “Maintaining simultaneous concentration/managing simultaneous tasks”	222
7.7.7. “Prioritising care”	223
7.7.8 “Directing care”	223
7.7.9 Information sources	224
7.7.9.a Collective knowing the patient	224
7.7.9.b Doctor preference	226
7.8 Issues in data collection; concurrent and retrospective TA	226
7.9 Implications	227
7.9.1 Nursing practice	227
7.9.2 Education	230
7.9.3 Future research	233
7.10 Strengths and limitations	233
Chapter 8: Conclusion	236
References	245
Appendices	270

Index of Tables

Table 1:	Summary of differences in decision-making of novice and expert nurses	73
Table 2:	Operators used in studies employing PBG	98
Table 3:	Thinking strategies from studies using inductive content analysis	101
Table 4:	Selection criteria for participants (adapted from Benner, 1984)	107
Table 5:	Concepts used in the study	111
Table 6:	Original operators developed for the pilot study	113
Table 7:	Final list of operators used in the study	115
Table 8:	Processes of decision-making and expected operators	116
Table 9:	Demographic data	136
Table 10:	Frequency of outcomes and ranges for tasks for novice and expert participants	138
Table 11:	Frequency and range of approach to tasks for novice and expert participants	140
Table 12:	Cues used by novice and expert participants: vital observations and cardiac rhythms	141
Table 13:	Cues used by novice and expert participants: respiration and ventilation	142
Table 14:	Cues used by novice and expert participants: limb observations	143
Table 15:	Cues used by novice and expert participants: pain and pain medication	144
Table 16:	Cues used by novice and expert participants: fluid balance	145
Table 17:	Cues used by novice and expert participants: wound assessment, blood tests, mental state	146
Table 18:	Some links made by expert and novice participants between cues and concepts	147
Table 19:	Information sources	148
Table 20:	Categories of operators overall	150
Table 21:	Frequency of operators overall	151
Table 22:	Combined frequency of operators for novice and expert participants	152
Table 23:	Categories of operators for novice and expert participants for combined	152

	TA and interview sessions	
Table 24:	Operators for TA sessions for novice and expert participants	153
Table 25:	Categories of operators for novice and expert participants for TA sessions	154
Table 26:	Operators for interview sessions for novice and expert participants	155
Table 27:	Categories of operators for novice and expert participants for interview sessions	156
Table 28:	Use of processes by novice and expert participants	158
Table 29:	Themes mentioned by novice and expert participants and number of times mentioned	166
Table 30:	Tasks, participant 1, beginning of shift patient, 12 hours post-operative	284
Table 31:	Participant 1, transcript TA	287
Table 32:	Participant 1, transcript interview	288
Table 33:	Examples of categories and subcategories in content analysis from transcripts and interviews	290
Table 34:	Tasks for novice and expert participants; overall list of what attended	291
Table 35:	Frequency of task type for each participant	292
Table 36:	Processes used by each participant	293
Table 37:	Example of concepts and operators for hypothetico-deductive reasoning, backward reasoning	294
Table 38:	Example of phrases, concepts and operators for hypothetico-deductive reasoning, forward reasoning	296
Table 39:	Example of phrases, concepts and operators for pattern-matching	298
Table 40:	Example of concepts and operators for if/then process	300
Table 41:	Example of concepts and operators for trial-and-error process	302

Index of Figures

Figure 1:	Diagram showing overview of theoretical background of decision-making	15
Figure 2:	Information-processing theoretical model	26
Figure 3:	Monitoring haemodynamic status for post-operative AAA patient	55
Figure 4:	Summary of analysis using PBG	123
Figure 5:	Flow chart for content analysis of data	289

Index of Graphs

Graph 1: Example of a PBG	286
Graph 2: PBG; hypothetico-deductive reasoning, backward reasoning	295
Graph 3: PBG; hypothetico-deductive reasoning, forward reasoning	297
Graph 4: PBG; pattern-matching process	299
Graph 5: PBG; if/then process	301
Graph 6: PBG; trial and error process	303

Executive Summary

Effective high-quality decision-making is important in nursing to ensure that nurses' decisions positively affect patient care. This is particularly important in critically ill patients such as those being managed and monitored in Intensive Care Units (ICU). Increasing nursing shortages worldwide are leading to greater demands for new graduate nurses to enter directly into areas such as ICU, and the education of graduates needs to prepare them for the demands of this area, particularly in relation to the development of cognitive skills such as decision-making. Examination of the cognitive processes of nurses as they decide on care for patients in ICU can help in not only understanding how nurses make decisions about care, but can also lead to improvements in educational methods to develop such skills. Comparing the decision-making skills of novice and expert nurses can help illuminate the differences between these two groups and lead to methods to best assist novice nurses towards expertise.

Much of our reasoning is invisible and examination of it requires methods that can illuminate our thinking. The information-processing framework seeks to explain the unseen processes as they occur in the mind and envisages a model of the mind as a processor. The think aloud (TA) method of data collection and the corresponding verbal protocol analysis from this theoretical framework were chosen for this study and allow for in-depth, rich descriptions of a participant's cognitive processing as s/he reasons about care. Collection of such data in the natural setting can expand the knowledge of cognitive processing in decision-making and the real world of practice was used for this purpose.

Eight ICU nurses, four novice and four expert, comprised the sample. The nurses thought aloud (TA) for two hours while caring for patients who had undergone an elective Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) repair. The patients were all cared for within the first 24 hours post-operatively. The participants were subsequently interviewed as soon as the transcripts of the data were available after the TA session. Transcripts were analysed using Problem Behaviour Graphs (PBG) and content analysis, and the problem

space identified by describing the tasks attended, cues gathered and information sources used. The cognitive operators and processes used were also identified.

There were differences in both cognitive operators and processes used by novice and expert participants. Expert participants, in contrast with some previous studies, collected a greater range of cues than did novice participants and had an extensive repertoire of known cues, which they were able to relate together more often than were novice participants. The difference in novice and expert nurses' decision-making may be as much due to the way expert nurses put pieces of information together as it is to how much information they have. This study was completed in the real world of practice. Expert participants appeared to be anticipating problems and collecting cues that may indicate these problems. Expert participants also used the cognitive operators "match" and "predict" more often than novice participants did and appeared to match current patient situations to previous patients and experience. Expert participants used more forward reasoning in hypothetico-deductive reasoning, possibly as they could anticipate problems, whereas novice participants used more backward reasoning in hypothetico-deductive reasoning, working back from problems they identified. Novice and expert participants used if/then statements and novice participants reported they had been taught some of these by more experienced nurses. This type of reasoning in decision-making, although mentioned in the nursing literature, has not been identified as a process in nursing studies.

Understanding how novice and expert nurses' reasoning during decision-making differs can be used to further develop undergraduate education programmes. It can also help those who mentor novice nurses better understand and model decision-making. Adoption of teaching and learning methods within Problem Based Learning (PBL) programmes, such as concept maps to plan care, may help students and novice nurses better understand how to gather and relate cues and information to plan care.